

## PREFACE

It was in the autumn of 1992, or perhaps the spring of 1993, when I received a phonecall from a former student of mine at the University of Amsterdam, now working for a solicitor's firm in London. After the usual expressions of surprise and politeness, he asked me what I knew about the responsibility of international organizations under international law.

The short answer was: nothing. Teaching international law in Amsterdam, one was not supposed to inquire into the law of international organizations beyond the merest basics (personality, the legal status of General Assembly resolutions, collective security, that sort of thing); after all, we had a separate department (or section, rather) to cover international institutional law.

The one thing I did remember from my student days was that the law of international organizations was taught to us as a seemingly endless enumeration of facts ('The Council of Europe was established in whenever'), numbers ('The European Parliament has umpteen members'), abbreviations ('IRO stands for whatever') and generally incomprehensible phrases ('Specialized agencies?' Specialized in what? Agencies of and for whom?).

Indeed, leafing through the textbooks I had to read as a student, it becomes clear that general legal issues relating to international organizations had no priority. One of our textbooks addressed such issues, but in the part that was not compulsory reading for our exams.<sup>1</sup> The other general textbook was more in the nature of a comparative review of internal provisions some organizations may have had in common, without emphasizing

<sup>1</sup> This book was D. W. Bowett, *The Law of International Institutions* (4th edn, London, 1982). Recently, a new edition appeared: Philippe Sands & Pierre Klein, *Bowett's Law of International Institutions* (London, 2001). Unfortunately, I received it too late to be able to do much with it.

general legal issues.<sup>2</sup> In short, I had to tell my former student that on points of detail my knowledge displayed, er, a slight deficiency, but that I was sure the professor of international law at the London School of Economics at the time could be of more assistance to him.<sup>3</sup>

Nonetheless, the episode got me thinking that there might be more to the law of international organizations than I had always been accustomed to, and in particular when I started teaching EC law some years later (which involved, at the time, yet another department at the University of Amsterdam), I was forced to look a bit more closely into such notions as implied powers, *ultra vires*, legal personality, treaty-making by organizations, and judicial protection. So, when in 1996 I switched to the University of Helsinki and found out that there was no separate department for the law of international organizations, I readily volunteered to set up a course.

The one problem I encountered was that few of the textbooks available would address the issues I found to be important, with the exception of Amerasinghe's recent textbook.<sup>4</sup> Amerasinghe's excellent book, however, came with two drawbacks: not only was its retail price prohibitive, I also found myself often admiringly disagreeing, in particular when it came to the general outlook on international organizations.<sup>5</sup> While I could appreciate Amerasinghe's scholarship, I still felt that his textbook did not explain things in the way I would. And so, I figured, there was only one thing I could do, and that was to write my own textbook.

The famous (if controversial) philosopher Richard Rorty once wrote that education ought first to socialize people into the customs and ideas that make up the society they are a part of, after which at colleges and universities the happy few should be allowed and stimulated to question and debate all the things they have learned in the past: socialization first, followed by individualization.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>2</sup> This was the synoptic Dutch version of H. G. Schermers's famous *International Institutional Law*, condensed to some 300 pages under the title *Inleiding tot het internationale institutionele recht* (2nd edn, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980).

<sup>3</sup> I did not know half how fortunate that suggestion was: Professor (now Judge) Rosalyn Higgins was at the time preparing a report for the Institut de Droit International on the very topic of the responsibility of international organizations and their member-states.

<sup>4</sup> C. F. Amerasinghe, *Principles of the Institutional Law of International Organizations* (Cambridge, 1996).

<sup>5</sup> I have set this out more broadly in a review of Amerasinghe's book (1997) 66 *Nordic JIL*, 553–55.

<sup>6</sup> Richard Rorty, 'Education as Socialization and as Individualization', reproduced in his *Philosophy and Social Hope* (London, 1999), 114–26.

It is with both goals simultaneously in mind that the present book is written. All too often perhaps, textbooks and courses on the law of international organizations remain limited to socialization: introducing newcomers to the particular rites of international institutional lawyers. While that is a valuable goal in its own right (and indeed this book contains much socialization as well), my ultimate aims are to get people to think about the law of international organizations, and help the reader understand how interesting it can be as long as one does not insist on approaching the topic as a mere gathering of numbers, dates, abbreviations and incomprehensible phrases. As my students have convinced me, it might actually be worth the effort of treating them not as mere receptacles for bits and pieces of information – useful only to impress tuition-fee-paying parents and for boosting their chances of victory at Trivial Pursuit – but as intelligent adults with critical faculties.

Admittedly, after reading this book, the reader may still not know how many seats the European Parliament has, or whether the IAEA is properly to be considered a Specialized agency, or in what year the Council of Europe was established, or what the name of the WTO's plenary body is. Instead, the reader will hopefully have come to an understanding of why seemingly simple legal questions (May organization X engage in activity Y? May state A become a member of organization B? May state F withhold its contribution from organization G?) usually seem to defy easy answers and become the stuff of politics.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of people have, directly or indirectly, contributed to this book. Much of what follows has benefited from discussions with Catherine Brölmann, Veijo Heiskanen, Martti Koskenniemi, Anja Lindroos, Inger Österdahl, Jarna Petman and Richard Wouters. Brief discussions with Martin Björklund, Balakrishnan Rajagopal and Chanaka Wickremasinghe helped convince me that the book might be of some interest.

Anja Lindroos and Jarna Petman have read and commented upon the entire manuscript, as have the anonymous referees for Cambridge University Press. Their comments have done much to improve the quality of the text.

I have also benefited enormously from being able to participate in an interdisciplinary research project undertaken by the United Nations University, on the legitimacy of international organizations (directed by Veijo Heiskanen and Jean-Marc Coicaud: thanks, guys), in which some of the best minds of various disciplines participated. Without our free-flowing discussions at meetings in New York and Geneva, this book would have looked very different indeed.

My thanks go also to the organizations, both intergovernmental and non-governmental, that have over the years asked me to advise them on the law of international organizations. As is so often the case, the main benefit of acting as consultant accrues to the consultant: the insights gained from drafting a constituent document or an agreement on privileges and immunities, as well as from attending international meetings and being able to observe what goes on and how the process works, are invaluable.

As usual, however, the deepest professional gratitude is owed to my students, present and past, and both in Helsinki and Amsterdam as well as (during a few visiting stints) in Addis Ababa. They have listened with patience, swallowed what they felt could be deemed plausible, and rejected some of the nonsense that made its way through to the classroom.

At home, thanks to Marja-Leena for her love, guidance, patience and support. Our son Johan feels he has an inherent power to monopolize his father's time and attention, and that any decision to the contrary is simply a decision *ultra vires*. He has a point, of course: it is difficult to imagine those concepts being put to better use.

Helsinki, June 2002.

The publisher has used its best endeavours to ensure that the URLs for external websites referred to in this book are correct and active at the time of going to press. However, the publisher has no responsibility for the websites and can make no guarantee that a site will remain live or that the content is or will remain appropriate.

## TABLE OF CASES

### Permanent Court of International Justice

<i>Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (claim for indemnity),</i> jurisdiction, [1927] Publ. PCIJ, Series A, no. 8	page 300
<i>Case of the SS Lotus</i> , [1927] Publ. PCIJ, Series A, no. 10	53, 64
<i>Certain Questions Relating to Settlers of German Origin in the Territory Ceded by Germany to Poland</i> , advisory opinion, [1923] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 6	9
<i>Competence of the ILO to Examine Proposals for the Organisation and Development of Methods of Agricultural Production</i> , advisory opinion, [1922] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, nos. 2 & 3	62
<i>Competence of the ILO to Regulate the Conditions of Labour of Persons Employed in Agriculture</i> , advisory opinion, [1922] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, nos. 2 & 3	61
<i>Competence of the International Labour Organization to Regulate, Incidentally, the Personal Work of the Employer</i> , advisory opinion, [1926] Publ. PCIJ, no. 13	62
<i>Interpretation of Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Treaty of Lausanne</i> , advisory opinion, [1925] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 12	228
<i>Interpretation of the Greco-Turkish Agreement of December 1st, 1926</i> , advisory opinion, [1928] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 16	67
<i>Jurisdiction of the European Commission of the Danube between Galatz and Braila</i> , advisory opinion, [1926] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 14	63, 80
<i>Nationality Decrees Issued in Tunis and Morocco (French Zone)</i> , advisory opinion, [1923] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 4	65, 246
<i>Nomination of the Worker's Delegate for the Netherlands</i> , advisory opinion, [1922] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 1	171

<i>Railway Traffic between Lithuania and Poland (Railway sector Landwarów–Kaisiadorys)</i> , [1931] Publ. PCIJ, Series A/B, no. 42	203–4
<i>Request for Advisory Opinion Concerning the Status of Eastern Carelia</i> , [1923] Publ. PCIJ, Series B, no. 5	256

### International Court of Justice

<i>Appeal Relating to the Jurisdiction of the ICAO Council (India v. Pakistan)</i> , [1972] ICJ Reports 46	276
<i>Applicability of Article VI, Section 22, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations (Mazilu)</i> , advisory opinion, [1989] ICJ Reports 177	159–60, 258–9
<i>Applicability of the Obligation to Arbitrate under Section 21 of the United Nations Headquarters Agreement of 26 June 1947</i> , advisory opinion, [1988] ICJ Reports 12	47, 163
<i>Application for Review of Judgement No. 158 of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal (Fasla)</i> , advisory opinion, [1973] ICJ Reports 166	183, 272, 273
<i>Application for Review of Judgement No. 273 of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal</i> , advisory opinion, [1982] ICJ Reports 325	37
<i>Application for Review of Judgement No. 333 of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal</i> , advisory opinion, [1987] ICJ Reports 18	257
<i>Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Yugoslavia)</i> , preliminary objections, [1996] ICJ Reports 595	114
<i>Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras)</i> , [1988] ICJ Reports 69	211
<i>Case Concerning Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro))</i> , further request for the indication of provisional measures, [1993] ICJ Reports 325	240, 248–9
<i>Case Concerning Questions of Interpretation and Application of the 1971 Montreal Convention arising from the Aerial Incident at</i>	

<i>Lockerbie</i> (Libya v. UK), preliminary objections, decision of 27 February 1998, nyr	191–2, 223, 318
<i>Case Concerning Questions of Interpretation and Application of the 1971 Montreal Convention arising from the Aerial Incident at Lockerbie</i> (Libya v. UK), order, [1992] ICJ Reports 3	190–2, 249
<i>Case concerning the Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company, Limited</i> (Belgium v. Spain), second phase, [1970] ICJ Reports 3	191
<i>Case Concerning the Frontier Dispute</i> (Burkina Faso v. Mali), [1986] ICJ Reports 554	266
<i>Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project</i> (Hungary/Slovakia), [1997] ICJ Reports 7	114
<i>Case Concerning the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations</i> (Germany v. USA), order, 3 March 1999, nyr	300–1
<i>Case of Certain Norwegian Loans</i> (France v. Norway), [1957] ICJ Reports 9	240–1
<i>Certain Expenses of the United Nations (Article 17, paragraph 2, of the Charter)</i> , advisory opinion, [1962] ICJ Reports 151	70, 78, 91, 101, 133–5, 136, 188–90, 237, 241, 242, 308
<i>Competence of the General Assembly for the Admission of a State to the United Nations</i> , advisory opinion, [1950] ICJ Reports 4	109–10
<i>Conditions of Admission of a State to Membership in the United Nations (Article 4 of the Charter)</i> , advisory opinion, [1948] ICJ Reports 57	108, 109
<i>Constitution of the Maritime Safety Committee of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization</i> , advisory opinion, [1960] ICJ Reports 150	99–100, 238–9
<i>Difference Relating to Immunity from Legal Process of a Special Rapporteur of the Commission of Human Rights (Cumaraswamy)</i> , advisory opinion, 29 April 1999, nyr	160–1, 258
<i>Effect of Awards of Compensation made by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal</i> , advisory opinion, [1954] ICJ Reports 47	69–70, 72, 74, 181–3, 287–8
<i>Fisheries Jurisdiction Case</i> (UK v. Iceland), jurisdiction, [1973] ICJ Reports 3	26
<i>Interpretation of Peace Treaties with Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania</i> , advisory opinion, [1950] ICJ Reports 65	257–8



<i>Interpretation of the Agreement of 25 March 1951 between the WHO and Egypt</i> , advisory opinion, [1980] ICJ Reports 73	95–6
<i>Judgments of the Administrative Tribunal of the International Labour Organization upon Complaints made against the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization</i> , advisory opinion, [1956] ICJ Reports 77	176, 272
<i>Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970)</i> , advisory opinion, [1971] ICJ Reports 16	71, 222–3, 231–2, 246
<i>Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons</i> , advisory opinion, [1996] ICJ Reports 226	187, 209, 242
<i>Legality of the Use by a State of Nuclear Weapons in Armed Conflict</i> , advisory opinion, [1996] ICJ Reports 66	80, 239, 242, 257
<i>Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. USA)</i> , merits, [1986] ICJ Reports 14	206, 208, 209
<i>North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany/Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany/Netherlands)</i> , [1969] ICJ Reports 3	231
<i>Reparation for Injuries Suffered in the Service of the United Nations</i> , advisory opinion, [1949] ICJ Reports 174	35, 36, 43, 44, 47–8, 52–3, 56, 58, 68–9, 70, 72, 74, 167, 284, 286
<i>Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide</i> , advisory opinion, [1951] ICJ Reports 15	84, 107
<i>South West Africa Cases (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa)</i> , preliminary objections, [1962] ICJ Reports 319	45, 278
<i>South West Africa Cases (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa)</i> , second phase, [1966] ICJ Reports 6	207
<i>United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran</i> , [1980] ICJ Reports 3	274
<i>Voting Procedure on Questions Relating to Reports and Petitions Concerning the Territory of South-West Africa</i> , advisory opinion, [1955] ICJ Reports 67	210
<i>Western Sahara</i> , order, [1975] ICJ Reports 6	257

### Court of Justice of the EC

8/55, <i>Fédération Charbonnière de Belgique v. High Authority</i> [1954–6] ECR 292	68
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

7/56 & 3–7/57, <i>Algera and others v. Common Assembly</i> [1957–8] ECR 39	238
9/56, <i>Meroni and others v. High Authority</i> [1957/8] ECR 133	169
20/59, <i>Italy v. High Authority</i> [1960] ECR 325	64
25/59, <i>Netherlands v. High Authority</i> [1960] ECR 355	64
6/60, <i>Jean-E. Humblet v. Belgium</i> [1960] ECR 559	164
25/62, <i>Plaumann &amp; Co. v. Commission</i> [1963] ECR 95	214, 243
26/62, <i>Van Gend &amp; Loos v. Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen</i> [1963] ECR I	27, 97–8, 99, 148, 291
6/64, <i>Flaminio Costa v. ENEL</i> [1964] ECR 585	27, 97, 213
6/68, <i>Zuckerfabrik Watenstedt v. Council</i> [1968] ECR 409	213
22/70, <i>Commission v. Council (ERTA)</i> [1971] ECR 273	71–2, 285, 286
21–24/72, <i>International Fruit Company v. Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit</i> [1972] ECR 1219	244
181/73, <i>Haegeman v. Belgium</i> [1974] ECR 449	290
43/75, <i>Defrenne v. Sabena</i> [1976] ECR 455	91, 214
105/75, <i>Giuffrida v. Council</i> [1976] ECR 1395	244
<i>Opinion 1/76 (Laying-up Fund)</i> [1977] ECR 754	285, 286
50/76, <i>Amsterdam Bulb v. Produktschap voor Siergewassen</i> [1977] ECR 137	213, 307
141/78, <i>France v. United Kingdom</i> [1979] ECR 2923	177, 262
138/79, <i>Roquette Frères v. Council</i> [1980] ECR 3333	244
104/81, <i>Hauptzollamt Mainz v. C. A. Kupferberg &amp; Cie</i> [1982] ECR 3659	290–1
283/81, <i>Srl CILFIT v. Italian Ministry of Health</i> [1982] ECR 3415	283
14/83, <i>Von Colson &amp; Kamann v. Land Nordrhein-Westphalen</i> [1984] ECR 1891	213
281, 283–5 & 287/85, <i>Germany and others v. Commission</i> [1987] ECR 3203	68
85/86, <i>Commission v. Board of Governors EIB</i> [1988] ECR 1281	164
204/86, <i>Greece v. Council</i> [1988] ECR 5323	215
2/88, <i>J. J. Zwartveld and others</i> [1990] ECR I-4405	164
C-70/88 <i>European Parliament v. Council (Chernobyl)</i> [1990] ECR I-2041	243
C-322/88, <i>Salvatore Grimaldi v. Fonds des maladies professionnelles</i> [1989] ECR I-4407	216–17
C-192/89, <i>Sevince v. Staatssecretaris van Justitie</i> [1990] ECR I-3461	298

C-6/90 & C-9/90, Andrea Francovich and others <i>v.</i> Italy [1991] ECR I-5357	213
C-159/90, Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd <i>v.</i> Stephen Grogan and others [1991] ECR I-4685	295
C-286/90, Anklagemyndigheden <i>v.</i> Poulsen & Diva Navigation [1992] ECR I-6019	288
<i>Opinion 1/91 (EEA)</i> [1991] ECR I-6079	265
<i>Opinion 2/91 (ILO)</i> [1993] ECR I-1061	292
C-327/91, France <i>v.</i> Commission [1994] ECR I-3641	169, 244, 288, 308
C-405/92, Mondiet SA <i>v.</i> Armement Islais SARL [1993] ECR I-6133	288
<i>Opinion 1/92 (EEA)</i> [1992] ECR I-2825	265
C-280/93, Germany <i>v.</i> Council [1994] ECR I-4973	244
C-415/93, Union Royale Belge des Sociétés de Football Association ASBL and others <i>v.</i> Jean-Marc Bosman and others [1995] ECR I-4921	24–5, 101–2
<i>Opinion 1/94 (WTO)</i> [1994] ECR I-5267	79, 264, 286, 293
<i>Opinion 2/94 (European Convention on Human Rights)</i> [1996] ECR I-1759	79, 264
<i>Opinion 3/94 (Framework Agreement on Bananas)</i> [1995] ECR I-4577	264
C-25/94, Commission <i>v.</i> Council (FAO) [1996] ECR I-1469	235, 297
C-70/94, Fritz Werner Industrie-Ausrüstungen GmbH <i>v.</i> Germany [1995] ECR I-3189	324
C-83/94, <i>Criminal Proceedings against Peter Leifer and others</i> [1995] ECR I-3231	324
C-191/94, AGF Belgium <i>v.</i> EEC and others [1996] ECR I-1873	164
C-268/94, Portugal <i>v.</i> Council [1996] ECR I-6177	285
C-311/94, Ijssel-Vliet Combinatie BV <i>v.</i> Ministry of Economic Affairs [1996] ECR I-5023	204
C-321/95 P, Stichting Greenpeace Council and others <i>v.</i> Commission [1998] ECR I-1651	48, 243
C-53/96, Hermès <i>v.</i> FHT [1998] ECR I-3603	294
C-149/96, Portugal <i>v.</i> Council, decision of 23 November 1999, nyr	244
C-17/98, Emesa Sugar <i>v.</i> Aruba, order of 4 February 2000, nyr	164
C-376/98, Germany <i>v.</i> European Parliament and Council (Tobacco directive), decision of 5 October 2000, nyr	79, 244

**Court of First Instance (EC)**

T-115/94, Opel Austria GmbH v. Council [1997] ECR II-39 288

**Arbitration**

- Anglo-French Continental Shelf* (France/UK) (1979) 18 ILM 397 84
- Dalmia Cement Ltd v. National Bank of Pakistan*, 18 December 1976, 67 ILR 611 106–7
- European Molecular Biology Laboratory v. Federal Republic of Germany*, 29 June 1990, 105 ILR 1 148
- Rainbow Warrior* mediation (New Zealand/France), 6 July 1986 174
- Trail Smelter* (US/Canada), 16 April 1938 and 11 March 1941, III UNRIAA 1905 5
- UNESCO (Constitution) case*, 19 September 1949 (1969) 16 AD 331 96, 172
- Westland Helicopters Ltd and Arab Organization for Industrialization, United Arab Emirates, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, State of Qatar, Arab Republic of Egypt and Arab British Helicopter Company*, 5 March 1984, 80 ILR 600 312, 318

**Other international tribunals***Benelux Court of Justice*

MBAK and another v. Minister for Foreign Affairs, 20 December 1988, 99 ILR 38 263

*European Commission of Human Rights*

*Spaans v. Netherlands*, 1988, 107 ILR 1 151, 152

*Temeltasch v. Switzerland*, 1983, 88 ILR 619 85

*European Court of Human Rights*

*Beer and Regan v. Germany*, 18 February 1999, 118 ILR 121 152

*Belilos v. Switzerland*, 1988, 88 ILR 648 85

*Waite and Kennedy v. Germany*, 18 February 1999, 118 ILR 121 152

*General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade*

<i>Tuna I</i> , 1991, 30 ILM 1594	268
<i>Tuna II</i> , 1994, 33 ILM 839	268
<i>United States Tax Legislation (DISC)</i> , Panel report L/4422, 1976, 28 BISD (1982) 114	268

*ICTY*

<i>Prosecutor v. Dusko Tadic</i> , 35 ILM 32	183–5, 186–7
----------------------------------------------	--------------

*ILO Administrative Tribunal*

<i>Brache v. World Health Organization</i> , 3 November 1969, 43 ILR 459	330
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

*ILO inquiry*

<i>Abolition of the Forced Labour Convention (Ghana v. Portugal)</i> , 1962, 35 ILR 285	254
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

*Inter-American Court of Human Rights*

<i>Effect of Reservations</i> , advisory opinion, 1982, 67 ILR 558	85
--------------------------------------------------------------------	----

**Domestic courts***Argentina*

<i>Bergaveche v. United Nations Information Centre, Cámara Nacional de Apelaciones del Trabajo de la Capital Federal</i> , 19 March 1958, 26 ILR 620	156
<i>Dutto v. UN High Commissioner for Refugees, National Labour Court of Appeal</i> , 31 May 1989, 89 ILR 90	156

*Austria*

<i>Evangelical Church (Ausburg and Helvetic Confessions [sic] in Austria v. Grezda, Supreme Court</i> , 27 February 1962, 38 ILR 453	158
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

- Karl M. *v.* Provincial Revenue Office for Vienna, Administrative Court, 20 November 1970, 71 ILR 573 158
- N. K. *v.* Austria, Vienna Superior Provincial Court, 26 February 1979, 77 ILR 470 309

*Belgium*

- M. *v.* Organisation des Nations Unies and Etat Belge (Ministre des Affaires Etrangères), Brussels Tribunal Civil, 11 May 1966, 45 ILR 446 311
- United Nations *v.* B, Brussels Tribunal Civil, 27 March 1952, 19 ILR 490 329

*Canada*

- In the Matter of Legislative Jurisdiction over Hours of Labour*, Supreme Court (early 1920s; no precise date given), (1925–6), 3 AD 393 220

*Denmark*

- Carlsen et al. *v.* Rasmussen, Supreme Court, 6 April 1998, <http://www.um.dk.udenrigspolitik/> 236

*Egypt*

- Elias and Abdou Noujaim *v.* Eastern Telegraph Co., Port Said Summary Tribunal, 21 December 1932, (1931–2) 6 AD 413 309
- Nader *v.* Marconi Radio Telegraph Co. of Egypt, Alexandria Civil Court, 12 March 1934, 7 AD 471 309

*France*

- Chambre Syndicale des Transports Aeriens*, Conseil d'Etat, 22 July 1994, 111 ILR 500 235
- Chemidlin *v.* International Bureau of Weights and Measures, Tribunal Civil de Versailles, 27 July 1945, (1943–5) 12 AD 281 270

<i>In re Weiss</i> , Conseil d'Etat, 20 February 1953, 20 ILR 531	270
<i>Klarsfeld v. Office Franco-Allemand pour la Jeunesse</i> , Paris Court of Appeal, 18 June 1968, 72 ILR 191	9
<i>Procureur Général v. Syndicate of co-owners of the Alfred Dehodencq Property Company</i> , Court of Cassation, 6 July 1954, 21 ILR 279	156

### *Germany*

<i>IAEA Representative Immunity case</i> , Bavaria Supreme Court, 30 September 1971, 70 ILR 413	157
<i>International Military Operations (German Participation)</i> , Federal Constitutional Court, 12 July 1994, 106 ILR 319	91, 107

### *Guatemala*

<i>Klahr Ehlert</i> , Supreme Court, 1952 (no direct source)	207
--------------------------------------------------------------	-----

### *Italy*

<i>Branno v. Ministry of War</i> , Court of Cassation, 14 June 1954, 22 ILR 756	147
<i>Cristiani v. Italian Latin-American Institute</i> , Court of Cassation, 25 November 1985, 87 ILR 20	57
<i>Food and Agriculture Organization v. INPDAI</i> , Court of Cassation, 18 October 1982, 87 ILR 1	147–8, 152
<i>International Institute of Agriculture v. Profili</i> , Court of Cassation, 26 February 1931, (1929–30) 5 AD 414	153
<i>Maida v. Administration for International Assistance</i> , Court of Cassation, 27 May 1955, 23 ILR 510	147
<i>Minnini v. Bari Institute of the International Centre for Advanced Mediterranean Agronomic Studies</i> , Court of Cassation, 4 April 1986, 87 ILR 28	148
<i>Porru v. Food and Agriculture Organization</i> , Tribunal of First Instance, 25 June 1969, 71 ILR 240	148
<i>Re Pisani Balestra di Mottola</i> , Court of Cassation, 10 July 1969, 71 ILR 565	157

*Luxembourg*

- USSR *v.* Luxembourg & Saar Company, Commercial Tribunal,  
2 March 1935, (1935–7) 8 AD 114 106

*Madagascar*

- La Hausse de la Louvière *v.* Brouard, Court of Appeal, 25 May  
1972, 71 ILR 562 159

*The Netherlands*

- Eckhardt *v.* Eurocontrol (no. 2), Maastricht District Court,  
12 January 1984, 94 ILR 331 164
- Iran – United States Claims Tribunal *v.* A. S., Local Court, The  
Hague, 8 June 1983; District Court, The Hague, 9 July 1984;  
Supreme Court, 20 December 1985, 94 ILR 321 151
- UNRRA *v.* Daan, Utrecht District Court, 23 February 1949,  
16 AD (1949) 337 51

*Nigeria*

- African Reinsurance Corporation *v.* Abate Fantaye, Supreme  
Court, 20 June 1986, 86 ILR 655 156

*Philippines*

- World Health Organization and Verstuyft *v.* Aquino and others,  
Supreme Court, 29 November 1972, 52 ILR 389 159

*South Africa*

- Binga *v.* The Administrator-General for South West Africa and  
others, Supreme Court, 22 June 1984, 82 ILR 465 258

*Switzerland*

- Arab Organization for Industrialization and others *v.* Westland  
Helicopters Ltd, Federal Supreme Court (First Civil Court),  
19 July 1988, 80 ILR 652 312–13



Arab organization for Industrialization and others <i>v.</i> Westland Helicopters Ltd. and others, Geneva Court of Justice, 23 October 1987, 80 ILR 622	312
<i>In re Poncet</i> , Federal Tribunal, 12 January 1948, 15 AD 346	158
Jenni and others <i>v.</i> Conseil d'Etat of the Canton of Geneva, Swiss Federal Tribunal, 4 October 1978, 75 ILR 99	9

*United Kingdom*

Arab Banking Corporation <i>v.</i> International Tin Council, High Court, Queen's Bench Division, 15 January 1986, 77 ILR 1	303
Arab Monetary Fund <i>v.</i> Hashim and others, House of Lords, 21 February 1991, 85 ILR 1	48, 50–1
Attorney-General <i>v.</i> Nissan, House of Lords, 11 February 1969, 44 ILR 359	309
<i>In re International Tin Council</i> , High Court, Chancery Division, 22 January 1987, 77 ILR 18	303
J. H. Rayner (Mincing Lane) Ltd <i>v.</i> Department of Trade and Industry and others, High Court, Queen's Bench Division, 24 June 1987, 77 ILR 55	305
J. H. Rayner (Mincing Lane) Ltd <i>v.</i> Department of Trade and Industry and others; MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> Department of Trade and Industry; MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> International Tin Council, House of Lords, 26 October 1989, 81 ILR 670	305–6
MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> Department of Trade and Industry, High Court, Chancery Division, 29 July 1987, 80 ILR 39	305, 313
MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> Department of Trade and Industry; J. H. Rayner (Mincing Lane) <i>v.</i> Department of Trade and Industry and others, and related appeals, Court of Appeal, 27 April 1988, 80 ILR 47	312
MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> International Tin Council, High Court, Chancery Division, 13 May 1987, 77 ILR 41	304
MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> International Tin Council, Court of Appeal, 27 April 1988, 80 ILR 191	305
MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd <i>v.</i> International Tin Council (no. 2), High Court, Chancery Division, 9 July 1987, 77 ILR 160	304

- MacLaine Watson & Co. Ltd *v.* International Tin Council (no. 2),  
Court of Appeal, 27 April 1988, 80 ILR 211 305

*United States*

- Balfour, Guthrie & Co., Limited et al. *v.* United States et al., District  
Court, Northern District, California, 5 May 1950, 17 ILR 323 156
- Boimah *v.* United Nations General Assembly, District Court,  
Eastern District, New York, 24 July 1987, 113 ILR 499 156
- Broadbent *v.* Organization of American States, District Court, DC,  
28 March 1978, 63 ILR 162 151
- Diggs *v.* Schultz, Court of Appeals, DC Circuit, 31 October 1971,  
60 ILR 393 200
- Huynh Thi Anh and another *v.* Levi and others, Court of Appeals,  
6th Circuit, 20 October 1978, 95 ILR 494 207
- International Refugee Organization *v.* Republic S. S. Corp et al.,  
Court of Appeals, 4th Circuit, 11 May 1951, 18 ILR 447 156
- Jennings *v.* Markley, Warden, District Court, Southern District,  
Indiana, 19 September 1960, 32 ILR 367 309
- Kadic *v.* Karadzic, and Doe I and Doe II *v.* Karadzic, Court of  
Appeals, 2nd Circuit, 13 October 1995, 104 ILR 135 161
- Karadzole et al. *v.* Artukovic, Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit, 24 June  
1957, 24 ILR 510 208
- Klinghoffer and others *v.* SNC Achille Lauro and others, Court of  
Appeals, 2nd Circuit, 21 June 1991, 91 ILR 68 157, 162
- Means *v.* Means, Family Court, New York, 6 August 1969, 53 ILR  
588 158
- Mendaro *v.* World Bank, Court of Appeals, DC, 27 September  
1983, 99 ILR 92 151
- Pan-American Union *v.* American Security and Trust Company,  
District Court, DC, 6 May 1952, 18 ILR 441 329
- People *v.* Von Otter, City Court, La Rochelle (New York), 30 July  
1952, 19 ILR 385 157
- Re International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and  
International Monetary Fund v. All America Cables & Radio, Inc.  
and other cable companies*, Federal Communications  
Commission, 23 March 1953, 22 ILR 705 149–50

United States <i>v.</i> Melekh et al., District Court, Southern District, New York, 28 November 1960; District Court, Northern District, Illinois, 20 March 1961, 32 ILR 308	150
Weidner <i>v.</i> International Telecommunications Satellite Organization, Court of Appeals, DC, 21 September 1978, 63 ILR 191	151
Wencak <i>v.</i> United States, New York Supreme Court, 18 January 1956, 22 ILR 509	329

## A NOTE ON DOCUMENTATION

In writing this book, two compilations of source materials have proved immensely helpful. Many of the more current constitutional documents are brought together in Louis B. Sohn (ed.), *International Organisation and Integration: Student Edition* (Dordrecht, 1986); additional documents can be found in the tremendously useful eight-volume collection compiled by a number of Dutch scholars: P. J. G. Kapteyn *et al.* (eds.), *International Organization and Integration: Annotated Basic Documents and Descriptive Directory of International Organizations and Arrangements* (2nd rev. edn, The Hague, 1981–4).

More recent documents have sometimes been drawn from publications stemming from the relevant organization itself. Thus, the UN Charter is since time immemorial published by the UN Department of Public Information in a small blue vest-pocket version. In a more updated version, many organizations host websites which invariably produce the organization's constituent document. The names of those sites generally follow the same pattern: the abbreviated name of the organization plus a dot and either the letters 'org' or 'int'. Thus, the Asian Development Bank can be found at [www.adb.org](http://www.adb.org); the OECD at [www.oecd.org](http://www.oecd.org); the Council of Europe, at [www.coe.int](http://www.coe.int), and Interpol also has 'int' in its name: [www.interpol.int](http://www.interpol.int). Sometimes there is a surprise, in that an abbreviation in a language other than English is chosen. Thus, the OAS can be found [www.oea.org](http://www.oea.org). A useful set of links to a number of organizations is maintained by the University of Bologna in Italy, at [www.spfo.unibo.it/spolfo/INTORG.htm#oio](http://www.spfo.unibo.it/spolfo/INTORG.htm#oio).

For the text of the EC and EU treaties I have used the consolidated version published in (1998) 37 ILM 56, while more recent documents (the Treaty of Nice comes to mind) have been culled from the EU's official website, at [europa.eu.int/eur-lex](http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex). Here one can also find decisions of the EC courts which have not been published in the European Court Reports just yet.

Finally, the UN maintains a number of important sites for information. One of these, very useful but, alas, accessible only at a fee, is the treaty collection, at [untreaty.un.org/English/treaty.asp](http://untreaty.un.org/English/treaty.asp). When looking for Security Council and General Assembly materials, probably the quickest source nowadays is the UN's Documentation Service, at [www.un.org/documents/index.html](http://www.un.org/documents/index.html).

My approach has been that constituent documents have not been repeatedly cited in footnotes, in light of their widespread and relatively easy availability. They can be found with the help of the few guidelines set out above. Other treaties have generally been listed with a place where they can be found.

## ABBREVIATIONS

AD	<i>Annual Digest of Public International Law Cases</i>
AFDI	<i>Annuaire Français de Droit International</i>
AJIL	<i>American Journal of International Law</i>
AMF	Arab Monetary Fund
APEC	Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation Forum
ARIEL	<i>Austrian Review of International &amp; European Law</i>
ASEAN	Association of South East Asian Nations
Australian YIL	<i>Australian Yearbook of International Law</i>
BGBI	<i>Bundesgesetzblatt</i>
BIS	Bank for International Settlements
BISD	Basic Instruments and Selected Documents
Brooklyn JIL	<i>Brooklyn Journal of International Law</i>
BYIL	<i>British Yearbook of International Law</i>
Can YIL	<i>Canadian Yearbook of International Law</i>
CDE	<i>Cahiers de Droit Européen</i>
Chicago JIL	<i>Chicago Journal of International Law</i>
CMLRev	<i>Common Market Law Review</i>
Conn JIL	<i>Connecticut Journal of International Law</i>
COREPER	Comité des Représentants Permanents
Cornell ILJ	<i>Cornell International Law Journal</i>
EBRD	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development
EC	European Community
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECOSOC	Economic and Social Council
ECOWAS	Economic Community of West African States
ECR	European Court Reports
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
EIB	European Investment Bank
EJIL	<i>European Journal of International Law</i>

ELR	<i>European Law Review</i>
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FRG	Federal Republic of Germany
FYIL	<i>Finnish Yearbook of International Law</i>
GaJICL	<i>Georgia Journal of International &amp; Comparative Law</i>
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GDR	German Democratic Republic
GYIL	<i>German Yearbook of International Law</i>
Harvard ILJ	<i>Harvard International Law Journal</i>
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
IBRD	International Bank for Reconstruction and Development
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICES	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICLQ	<i>International &amp; Comparative Law Quarterly</i>
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
IDA	International Development Association
IFAD	International Fund for Agricultural Development
ILA	International Law Association
ILC	International Law Commission
ILM	<i>International Legal Materials</i>
ILO	International Labour Organization
ILR	<i>International Law Reports</i>
IMCO	Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IMO	International Maritime Organization
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
JCMS	<i>Journal of Common Market Studies</i>
JIEL	<i>Journal of International Economic Law</i>
JWT	<i>Journal of World Trade</i>
Leiden JIL	<i>Leiden Journal of International Law</i>
LIEI	<i>Legal Issues of European Integration</i>
Michigan JIL	<i>Michigan Journal of International Law</i>

NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
Neth ILR	<i>Netherlands International Law Review</i>
Neth YIL	<i>Netherlands Yearbook of International Law</i>
Nordic JIL	<i>Nordic Journal of International Law</i>
Nordisk TIR	<i>Nordisk Tidsskrift for International Ret</i>
OAS	Organization of American States
OAU	Organization of African Unity
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OEEC	Organization for European Economic Co-operation
ONUC	Opération des Nations Unies au Congo
OPEC	Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
OSCE	Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
ÖZöR	<i>Österreichische Zeitschrift für öffentliches Recht</i>
ÖZöRV	<i>Österreichische Zeitschrift für öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht</i>
PAU	Pan-American Union
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
RBDI	<i>Revue Belge de Droit International</i>
RdC	<i>Recueil des Cours de l'Académie de Droit International</i>
RGDIP	<i>Revue Générale de Droit International Public</i>
RTDE	<i>Revue Trimestrielle de Droit Européen</i>
SWAPO	South West Africa People's Organization
TEU	Treaty on European Union
UN	United Nations
UNAT	United Nations Administrative Tribunal
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEF	United Nations Emergency Force
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
UNIDO	United Nations Industrial Development Organization
UNJY	<i>United Nations Juridical Yearbook</i>
UNRIAA	<i>United Nations Reports of International Arbitral Awards</i>



UNRRA	United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation Administration
UNRWA	United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East
UPU	Universal Postal Union
VaJIL	<i>Virginia Journal of International Law</i>
Vanderbilt JTL	<i>Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law</i>
WEU	Western European Union
WHA	World Health Assembly
WHO	World Health Organization
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization
Yale JIL	<i>Yale Journal of International Law</i>
YbILC	<i>Yearbook of the International Law Commission</i>
YEL	<i>Yearbook of European Law</i>
ZaöRV	<i>Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht</i>
ZöR	<i>Zeitschrift für öffentliches Recht</i>